## Henderson, Katie

From: Torrence, Rufus

Sent: Monday, November 28, 2011 9:01 AM
To: David Gombrich (dgombrich@parker.com)

**Subject:** AFIN 63-00124 ARP001049 AR0036498 Site Visit to Parker for Compliance Assurance:

Inspection

Attachments: PMC Insp 20111116.doc



November 28, 2011

David Gombrich, EHS&E Parker Mobile Cylinder 20138 I-30 Benton, AR 72015

Re: Site Visit for Compliance Assurance: Inspection

(Tracking Number: ARP001049 AFIN: 63-00124 City of Benton NPDES No.: AR0036498)

Dear Mr. Gombrich:

Part of ADEQ responsibility to EPA is to ensure that inspections of industries regulated by categorical pretreatment standards (40 CFR Part 405 – 471) are performed on a periodic basis. These industries are referred to as Categorical Industrial Users (CIUs) if they discharge the regulated wastewater into the local Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW). Parker had processes (Coating-Phosphatizing) in the Benton facility that were regulated by 40 CFR Part 433 and discharged the wastewater from these operations into the City of Benton POTW. Therefore, Parker was a CIU. In accordance to 40 CFR 403.12(e), Parker was required to submit periodic reports to the Control Authority (ADEQ or Department) and in accordance with 40 CFR 403.8(f)(2)(v) be inspected by the Control Authority at least bi-annually. The Department appreciates Parker taking the time on Wednesday (November 16, 2011) to show the ADEQ Engineer/Inspector (Rufus Torrence) the facility in Benton. The inspection consisted of a pre-inspection meeting and touring the facility.

During the pre-inspection meeting Parker indicated that the process tanks are empty and dry. Parker is currently trying to sell the tanks. Therefore, until the sell is complete or the tanks are activated again, Parker will not be required to submit semi-annual reports nor will the Department inspect the Benton facility.

The Department requests that Parker send ADEQ a letter when the tanks are sold or re-activated.

If you have any questions or concerns, please contact the Department at (501) 682-0626 or <a href="mailto:torrence@adeq.state.ar.us">torrence@adeq.state.ar.us</a>.

Torrence

Sincerely,

Rufus Torrence,

ADEQ Engineer/Inspector

Attachments: Inspection Report for November 16, 2011 Site Visit for Compliance Assurance

Pretreatment Industrial Inspection					
Facility Information					
Facility Name:	Site Address:				
Parker Mobile Cylinder	20138 I-30 Benton, AR 72015				
Signatory Authority (Name & Title): David Gomb	rich, EHS&E				
Phone: (501) 794-0334	Mailing Address (if different):				
Fax: (501) 794-0732	(Same)				
Address: 20138 Interstate 30	Corporate Owner Name and address (if applicable):				
Benton, AR 72015	Parker Hannifin Corporation				
Phone: (Same)	6035 Parkland Blvd Cleveland, OH 44124-4144				
Fax: (Same)	Phone: (216) 896-3000				
Contact Person (Name & Title):	Fax:				
David Gombrich, EHS&E	Corporate CEO:				
e-mail: dgombrich@parker.com	e-mail:				
AFIN 63-00124 ARP001049	Last Inspection Date: November 17, 2009				
POTW (City) IU discharges to: Benton	POTW's NPDES #AR0036498				
Industrial Classification:	Significant				
If Categorical, list which CFR #(s) the facility is subject to	o: 40 CFR 433 Metal Finishing				
Table o	f Contents				
I. Summary of Inspection	Page of				
A. Inspection Objectives B. Inspection Analysis					
B. Hispection Analysis					
II. Pre-Inspection Meeting	Page of				
A. General Information					
B. Facility Permits C. Additional Comments					
	cility and attachments will be included				
	t the facility and attachments aren't necessary				
A. Industrial Processes	yes \( \subseteq \ \ no \subseteq \ \ Page  \ of				
B. Pollution Prevention Activities	yes \( \subseteq \text{no} \subseteq \text{Page} \) of				
C. Pretreatment System	yes \( \subseteq \ \text{no} \subseteq \text{Page}  \text{of} \)				
D. Chemical Storage	yes \( \subseteq \text{no} \subseteq \text{Page} \) of				
E. Spill/Slug Control Plan	yes no Page of				
F. Self-Monitoring/TOMP	yes \( \subseteq \text{no } \subseteq \text{Page} \) of				
	om operation. The phosphate tank and rinse tanks are				
empty and dry. Parker is trying to sell the tanks. Therefore, Parker is a "quasi-ciu" and will not be inspected					
while the tanks are "empty". If Parker sells the tanks, Parker will no longer be a CIU.					
Inspector's Name (Print):	Signature:				
Rufus Torrence					
	July July 100 miles				
IU Rep's Name (Print)	Signature:				
David Gombrich	(Not Required)				
Date and Time Inspection Ended: November 16, 201	· -				

I. Summary of Inspection					
A. Insp	pection and Objective (C	Complete Before Insp	pection)		
Permit Renewal	⊠ Bi-Annual	Spill/Slug	Unscheduled		
New Construction	Noncompliance	Follow-up	Complaint		
Inspection Objective(s)					
	Compliance Assurance				
	<u>F</u>				
Charlist of items to be reviewed	d and/or visually inspected:				
Checklist of items to be reviewed  Pre-inspection Meeting	Permit Conditions	Safety Conce	rne		
Process Inspection	Pretreatment Process		1115		
Chemical Storage	Discharge point(s)	Spills/Slug Co	ontrol Plan		
Records Review	RCRA information		/Pretreatment Schematics		
☑IU sampling procedures*	Flow/pH Meter(s)	Calibration R	ecords		
MSDS Inventory List	☐ New MSDS				
	D.T. 4*	A 1 .			
	B. Inspection				
Were there any deficiencies/viola			Yes No N/A		
Provide a brief narrative of defic	iencies/violations or other co	oncerns in the following	areas:		
Records Review					
Process Area(s)					
Troccss Arca(s)					
Pretreatment System					
Self Monitoring Procedures					
Diversion/Sewer Meters					
Diversion/Sewer Meters					
Spill/Slug Control Plan					
Sampling Point					
1 0					
GL 1.1.C					
Chemical Storage					

II. Pre-Inspe	ction Meeting					
A. General	Information					
Date and Time Inspection Started: 11-16-11 @ 1:00 pm SIC code(s): 3593						
IU Reps/Titles	Control Authority Reps/Titles					
David Gombrich, EHS & Energy	Rufus Torrence, Water Div Engineer II					
End product(s): Hydraulic Cylinders	Approx. # of units produced: 1500/month					
Days of Operation: <i>Monday - Friday</i>	Days of Production (if different): Same					
Hours of Operation: 7 am to 11 pm	Hours of Production (if different): Same					
Shift 1, hrs.: 7 am to 3 pm Shift 2, hrs.: 3 pm	to 11 pm Shift 3, hrs.: to					
# of Employees: Peak Mo	os.: "Off" Mos.:					
Are there any scheduled plant shutdowns? Yes No	N/A If yes, when? <i>Christmas</i>					
	N/A If yes, when?					
Is the facility currently in compliance with all pretreatmen	t reporting requirements and limits? Yes \( \square\) No \( \square\)					
If No, explain:						
Are there any Special Entry Procedures for the Discharge/	Sample point locations? Yes \( \subseteq \text{No } \( \subseteq \)					
If Yes, explain:						
Are there any Safety Concerns or Identified Hazards that	the inspector should be aware of: \( \sum \) Yes. \( \sum \) No					
If Yes, explain:	1					
Has there been any changes since the last inspection regar	ding the following items:					
	tain copy of updated schematic for facility file.					
Processes? Yes No If yes, explain:	or to the second of the second					
110000000. 100 1100 1 100, 0Apinin.						
Production Levels? Yes No I If yes, explain <i>Prod</i>	uction down due to slow economy					
Troduction Zevers. Tes Z Tvo Tryes, explain 1700	action down due to story economy					
Raw materials? Yes No If yes, explain: <i>Ditto</i>						
The materials. 1655 110 11 yes, explain. 200						
Flow rates? Yes No If yes, explain <i>Ditto</i>						
Trow faces. Tes 2 170 11 yes, explain 2 mo						
Are regulated and non-regulated wastestreams combined?	yes no 🔀					
Prior to Pretreatment System?	yes no N/A					
If Yes, was the CWF used to calculate limits?	yes no no					
Prior to connection to the POTW sanitary sewer?	yes no N/A					
At connection to sanitary sewer?						
Production and flows verified for Production-Based Stand	· = = =					
What is the current avg. production rate and process flow	, <u> </u>					
Is the prod. rate or flow substantially different (+/- 20%) from those used in calculating limits? yes no						
1	(Not Applicable)					

	B. Facility Permits						
Permit Type	Permit No.	Expiration Date					
Air	1858-AR-1	(Not Applicable)					
RCRA		, Francis					
NPDES	ARR00B727						
Other RST	63001504						
	C. Additional Comments						
(Note which section or attachment	comments are regarding)						
FCC (Fomento De Construccion	nes Y Contratas, S.A.) purchased Sieme	ens and FCC is hauling away					
Parker's trapped O&G.							
	nly 80 now down from a normal level o						
•	, , ,	les of the regulated wastewater based					
upon the process confi	guration.						
	econfigure the plumbing to have a co						
	apture all the regulated wastewater f						
	ontinue with the present equipment s being discharged to the POTW	et-up and sample when all regulated					
	er has a rinse tank with continuous of						
	k (600 gallon capacity) and phospha eximately three (3) months apart. The	e composited sample must be taken					
when Parker is releasi	ng wastewater from the RP tank and	the phosphate tank; both the RP and					
		overflow from the rinse tank. If Parker					
Parker must take TWC	tank at a time then the same proced (2) samples.	are applies but in this latter case					
	Les LaCroix dated April 13, 2007						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							

Attachment A: Industrial Process(es)								
List process(es) generating wastewater. Note if it's categorical (federally regulated w/pretreatment limits) or not								
1. Phosphatizii	ing		Yes 🗌 No 🗌	4.			Yes 🗌	No 🗌
2.			Yes 🗌 No 🗌	5.	Yes.			No 🗌
3.			Yes 🗌 No 🗌	6.			Yes 🗌	No 🗌
Were processes visually inspected?		Yes 🛛 No 🗌	N/	A $\square$				
Brief descriptio	on of proc	cess(es):.						
The Benton fac	cility pure	chases 1018,	1026 & 1045 carbo	on ste	eel tubes and rounds. T	he rour	nds are ch	rome plated
by Janeze off-s	site. The	tubes are cut	to length to serve d	as the	e main and staging cyli	nders; i	the chron	ie plated
rounds (with pi	iston hea	ds attached) d	are used as shafts f	for th	e hydraulic cylinders.	The int	ernal tub	es are
machined to cle	ose tolero	ances to serve	as "stages"; units	can	have up to five cylinder	rs that s	stage out	during
operation.								
General observa	ations of	facility's indo	oor housekeeping:	Ac	ceptable			
General observa	ations of	area outside f	acility's building:	God	od			
Check all sourc	es of was	stewater being	discharged into the	e City	y's collection system. In	ndicate	avg. gal/c	lay, measured
(M) or estimate	ed (E). If	batch (B) dis	charged, list freque	ncy a	and volume (1000 gal/m	onth, e.	g.).	•
Process Rin	ise	Equip. C	Cleanup		Floor Cleanup		ent Bath S	Solutions
Overflows								
Product Cle	eaning	Forklifts	Maint./Wash		Tank Dragout	Air	r Pollution	n Devices
Boiler Blow	vdown	Spent R	inse Tanks		Equipment Coolants	□ No	n-Contact	Cooling
Boiler Blow	vuown	Брен К	mse ranks		Equipment Coolants	Water	ii Contac	Coomig
Stormwater	•			Ш		Ш		
List Major Raw	v Material	ls and Chemic	rals used:		L			
			s and rounds; wate	r-has	sed naints			
1010, 1020 & 1	to is care	on sieer tube	s una rounas, muic	. ous	seu puints			
Chack Wasta St	traam Po	llutants of Co	ncern from Process	(ac)				
		Metals (Lis		(03)	Solvents (List)			
BOD			, Ni, Ag & Zn		Solvents (List)			
_ +_		u, Ci, Cu, Fb	, m, ag & Ln					
	Cl <sub>2</sub>							
O&G	.s							
рН								
Are there floor	drains in	the Process a	rea? Yes	No I	f ves list number and th	e locati	on of all t	loor drains:
Are there floor drains in the Process area? Yes No If yes list number and the location of all floor drains:  Parker is planning to plug all floor drains and is in the process of completing this task.								
_ arrest to puttin	g to pt	an jioor u		p. 000	in of completing in the			

Attachment B: Pollution Prevention (P2) / Recycling Activities				
Does the facility have a written P2 Plan?	Yes	No 🖂		
Does this facility practice P2?	Yes 🔀	No 🗌		
Environmental Management System in pla	ace? Yes 🗌	No 🛛		
ISO Certified?	Yes 🗌	No 🛛		
Written Standard Operating Procedures?	Yes	No 🖂		
Explain:				
Preventative Maintenance Program	Yes 🛚	No 🗌 (	(hydraulic systems, valves, pumps, etc)	
Explain:				
Water Reuse:	Yes 🗵	No 🔝		
Explain:				
	x			
Cost Accounting to Track Savings:	Yes 🗵	No 📙		
Explain:				
Inventory Control / "Green Purchasing":	Yes 🔀	No 🗌 (1	lean manufacturing/"env. friendly purchasing", etc)	
Explain:	168	110 []	lean manufacturing/ env. menury purchasing, etc)	
Ехріані.				
Employee Training:	Yes 🖂	No 🗌		
Explain:	100 🔼	1,0		
Spent Solvent Reclamation?	Yes 🔀	No		
Explain:				
Recycle Paper, Aluminum, Boxes, and Pa	llets? Yes 🖂	No	]	
Explain:				
Recycle Waste Oil, Solvents, and Lubrica	nts? Yes 🛛	No		
Explain:				
Other Activities				
P2 Equipment/Practices in use:				
Overflow Alarms			Aqueous Cleaning Solutions	
Fog Spray Rinsing			Countercurrent Rinsing	
Dragout Collection Trays			Seal-Less Pumps	
Air Jets to Blow Parts Dry			Secondary Containment of Process Solutions	
Aqueous Paint Stripping Solutions			Bead Blasting to Remove Paint	
Water Soluble Cutting Fluids			Recycle Overspray	
In-Process Recycle (Ion Exchange, Re	verse Osmosis)		Conductivity Meters	
Dead Rinse Tanks			Bath / Rinse Filtration	

Attachment C: Pretreatment System							
Are wastestreams se	egregated before pret	reatment?	Yes	No No	□ N/A		
Are they pretreated prior to discharge to the sanitary sewer?							
Was the pretreatme	Was the pretreatment system visually inspected during this visit?  \( \subseteq \text{Yes} \) \( \subseteq \text{N/A} \)						
Check which of the	following are utilized	d for pretreatment pri	or to discharge to sa	nitary sewer:			
Dissolved air flo	oatation	Membrane Tech	. 🔲 Ion Ex	change	☐ Biological Treatment		
☐ Centrifugation		Flow Equalization	on Ozona	tion	Chlorinating		
Chemical Precip	oitation	Oil/Water Separa	ation Rever	se Osmosis	Grit Removal		
Sludge Filter Pr	ess	Grease Trap	Screen	1	Solvent Separation		
pH Adjustment		Sand Trap	Sedim	entation	☐ Silver Recovery		
Belt/Disk Oil Sl	ximmer						
Provide Brief Descr	ription of Pretreatmen	nt System (leaks, clea	nliness, equipment r	ot in working	g order):		
	Neutralization C	Only					
Does the description	n match the schematic	c currently on file?	□Ye	s 🔲 No	⊠ N/A		
System Operator(s)	Name:						
(Not Applicable)							
`							
Does discharge permit require licensed operator?							
Is the System Operator(s) licensed by the State of Arkansas (per Reg. # 3?) \( \subseteq \text{ Yes} \subseteq \subseteq \text{N/A} \)							
List Name(s) and License classification:							
(Not Applicable)							
Is training provided to the Pretreatment System Operator(s)?							
If Yes, list type and frequency:							
Is the discharge from the Pretreatment System? Batch Continuous Combination							
If any discharges are batch type or combination, describe the following:							
Volume of each batch: 1100 gallons released 6/month rinse							
600 gallons released 6/month bath							
Describe process fro	om which batch origi	nated (spent bath, e.g	.):				
Approximate durati	on of batch discharge	<del></del>					
Meter Type Calibration Procedure and Frequency Comments (Totalizer Reading)							
	(Not Applicable)						
			1				

Attachment D: Chemical Storage Area(s)							
e area(s)?	X Yes	□No					
	Yes Yes	□No ⊠ N/A					
		If yes, where does this drain lead to?					
□Yes	⊠ No	Pretreatment Sanitary Sewer Sto	rm Sewer				
□Yes	⊠ No	☐ Pretreatment ☐ Sanitary Sewer ☐ Sto	rm Sewer				
Yes	□No	☐ Pretreatment ☐ Sanitary Sewer ☐ Sto	rm Sewer				
□Yes	□No	☐ Pretreatment ☐ Sanitary Sewer ☐ Sto	rm Sewer				
the following	ng?						
		Drains					
	, ,						
	Yes	□No □N/A					
Chemical Inventory List (MSDS) on file?  Yes No N/A  Were any new MSDS reviewed during the Inspection?  Yes No N/A							
If yes, list below:							
has four 5	5 gallon dri	ums of Acids, Rust Removers, etc. on a spill					
-							
only							
•							
s, hardline,	etc):						
	· ·						
	Are there drains in Yes  Yes  Yes  He followin Plug Pren Chai Noti Other	Are there floor drains in this area?  Yes No  Chain restraints,  Notification Production of the following?  Yes Yes  Are there floor drains in this area?  Yes No  Yes No  The following?  Plugs for Floor drains in this area?  Premix (low) Co  Chain restraints,  Yes  Other  Yes  Other  Yes  Are there floor drains in this area?	e area(s)?				

Attachment E: Spill/Slug Control Plan	
Does the facility have a Spill/Slug control plan?	☐ yes ☐ no*
If yes are the following: 403.8(f)(2)(v)(A-D) requirements in place?	
Is the spill/slug control plan <2 years old?	yes no N/A
(A) Describes discharge practices including non routine batch (slug) discharges	yes no N/A
(B) Describes storage and handling of chemicals	yes no N/A
(C) Procedures for immediate notification to POTW of slug discharges	yes no N/A
(D) 1. Describes measures for controlling toxic/hazardous pollutants	yes no N/A
2. Describes procedures and equipment for emergency response	yes no N/A
3. Describes follow-up to limit damage suffered by POTW or environment	yes no N/A
4. Does the facility have Spill/Slug Notification Procedures posted?	yes no N/A
5. Are worker personnel provided training in the event of a spill or slug discharge?	yes no N/A
If no:	
Does the facility have Spill/Slug Notification Procedures posted?	yes no
Is it posted in areas where chemicals are used and stored?	yes no
If Yes how many?	
Are appropriate personnel provided training in the event of a spill or slug discharge?	yes no
Have there been any non-routine, episodic discharges or chemical spills in the past year?	yes no
(Briefly Describe, Include Dates)	
Was the City notified of these occurrences?  yes no N/A	
Visual Inspection of Discharge Lines/Points	
Provide description of manhole condition and flow channel of the following where applicable:	
Sampling / Monitoring Point	
Total Flow Monitoring Point	
Upstream Manhole	
Point of Connection:	

<sup>\*</sup>Parker's Benton facility has very little (if any potential at all) to slug load the local POTW.

Attachment F: Self-Monitoring & if CFR 433, TTO/TOMP Requirements							
Have Operator (or person collecting the sample) to describe how composite and grab samples are collected and preserved. Record descriptions. Include name of individual and title.							
	Grab Sai	nple					
Where is the sample poin	nt located?						
☐ End of Process	Pretre	atment Effluent	☐ Tot	tal Flow			
Combined Flow	☐ Meter	ed Flow	☐ Flo	ow Actuator			
Private Manhole	☐ Utility	Manhole	Ad	vance Notice Requ	ired		
Safety Hazards Ident	ified						
Is the Sample Collection	Site Adequate?			Yes No [	N/A		
Does the facility rep. req	uest a split sample on th	nis sampling/inspection?		☐ Yes ☐ No			
Does the facility perform	self-monitoring tests in	n-house?		☐ Yes ⊠ No	□ N/A		
If no, record the na	me and address of Conta	ract Lab: <i>Environmen</i>	tal Testin	ng & Consulting			
Automatic Sampler	or Manual						
IU Self-Monitoring Resu	ılts reviewed:			Yes No	o N/A		
Is the Contract Lab	certified by ADEQ for	for test parameters?					
Dates and Times of	Sample Analysis Recor	rded?		Yes No	o N/A		
Correct Methods U	sed for Test Analysis (F	Refer To 40CFR Part 136	5)	Yes No	o N/A		
EPA recommended	holding times being me	et (Refer to 40CFR Part	136)	Yes No	o N/A		
Chain of Custody R	Records for Self-Monito	ring Samples Reviewed		Yes No	o N/A		
Were correct Samp	le Types Collected			Yes No	o N/A		
Dates and times of	Sample Collection Reco	orded?		Yes No	o N/A		
Were Samples pres	erved correctly (refer to	40CFR Part 136)		Yes No	o N/A		
Were Self Monitor	ing records on file for pa	ast 3 years?		Yes No	o N/A		
List the parameters the fa	acility monitors and the	frequency:					
Cd(t) 2/year	Cu(t) 2/year	Cr(t) 2/year	Ni(t)	2/year	Pb(t) 2/year		
Ag(t) 2/year	Zn(t) 2/year	☐ pH	CN <sup>-</sup> (t	(t) $2/year$	CN (a-c)		
☐ TTO-Vol	□TTO-B/N   □TTO-A.E.   □TTO-Pest   □ Cr(hex)				Cr(hex)		
Toxic Organic Management Plan (TOMP) for Metal Finishers under CFR 433							
How does the IU report TTO?							
Does the facility have a Toxic Organic Management Plan?							
If yes, Does the plan show how toxic organics are used, stored, and disposed?  Yes No N/A							
List the date of the last revision to the TOMP:							
Is the TOMP being followed as written? Yes No N/A (If no, provide explanation in comments.)							
If no, is there evidence that a TOMP is needed? Yes No N/A (If yes, provide description of evidence in comments.)							
Comments: Parker plans to develop and submit a TOMP to ADEQ for approval.							